Many Chicago families put a lot of effort and time into the high school choice process. Families take many factors into consideration, from school performance levels to transportation and the availability of specific programs. While it is difficult to know how a particular school may affect an individual student, new research shows how student achievement, student experiences, and college outcomes are different, on average, depending on the performance level of the school.

**HOW DID RESEARCHERS COMPARE SCHOOLS?**

This research grouped Chicago high schools by performance as measured by graduation rate and average ACT scores. See Figure 1 for more details on the four groups: selective enrollment and high-, mid-, and low-performing high schools.

Researchers examined how attending a school in one of these performance groups vs. another affected students with similar incoming achievement and backgrounds in three key areas: student achievement, student experiences, and college outcomes. See Figure 2 for more details on these comparisons.

**HOW DO STUDENTS BENEFIT FROM ATTENDING A HIGHER-PERFORMING, NON-SELECTIVE HIGH SCHOOL?**

Compared to students attending a lower-performing high school (using graduation rates and ACT scores to compare schools).

Students at higher-performing high schools report better high school experiences, on average.

- At these higher-performing schools, which include neighborhood and charter high schools, students have:
  - A stronger sense of safety
  - More positive relationships with peers and teachers
  - Improved attendance
  - Decreased likelihood of being suspended

There are academic benefits for many students who attend higher-performing neighborhood and charter schools.

- On average, students at these schools may see boosts to:
  - Test scores.
  - College enrollment, persistence, and selectivity. These effects are especially large for students who would otherwise have attended a low-performing high school.

However . . .

GPAs are lower for students in these schools, on average.

- This may be because their work is compared to higher-performing peers, or because of more challenging classes or higher expectations. Additional research is needed to determine the cause of lower GPAs.

**HOW DO STUDENTS BENEFIT FROM ATTENDING A SELECTIVE ENROLLMENT HIGH SCHOOL?**

Compared to attending a high-performing non-selective high school.

Students report better experiences at selective enrollment high schools.

- They report feeling safer and having better relationships with peers and teachers.

However . . .

Students do not have different academic outcomes, on average.

- Test scores, high school graduation, college enrollment, and college persistence are largely unaffected.

Students have lower GPAs, especially students from low-income neighborhoods.

- Students may see a decrease in GPA, for the same reasons as students in high-performing schools.

Students from low-income neighborhoods are less likely to attend selective colleges, on average.

- SEHS students from low-income neighborhoods are less likely to attend a selective college compared with similarly-performing, low-income students who do not enroll in SEHSs. Less selective colleges have lower graduation rates, which is one reason why this difference could matter for students. More research is needed to determine why students are enrolling in less and more selective colleges depending on neighborhood income.
ABOUT THE RESEARCH

The Educational Benefits of Attending Higher-Performing Schools: Evidence from Chicago High Schools

- Examines the effects of attending a higher-performing school on students’ academic and nonacademic outcomes, compared to similar students in lower-performing schools using a propensity score approach with an extensive array of pre-high school characteristics, including prior test scores, grades, attendance, and family and middle school characteristics.
- Classifies high schools into four categories, called tiers: (1) Selective enrollment; (2) Top-tier non-selective (above average ACT scores and graduation rates); (3) Mid-tier non-selective (average ACT scores and graduation rates); (4) Low-tier non-selective (below average ACT scores and graduation rates).

The Role of Selective High Schools in Equalizing Outcomes: Differential Effects on Students by Neighborhood Tier

- Compares outcomes for students who were just above the admission threshold to those just below – similarly-performing students who do and do not attend selective enrollment high schools, using a regression discontinuity design.
- Studies first-time ninth-graders in CPS cohorts entering high school from 2010—2013.
- Investigates differences between students from neighborhoods with low and high socioeconomic status (i.e., tier 1, tier 4).

To read these studies in full, visit consortium.uchicago.edu/publications

Figure 1. CPS Class of 2015 Average Performance by High School Tier

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School Tier</th>
<th>Number of Schools</th>
<th>Four-Year Graduation Rate&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Average ACT Composite Score&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Selective (SEHS)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Tier&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Tier&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Tier&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Of all non-SEHS schools, including: neighborhood, charter, and special high schools.
<sup>b</sup>See https://toandthrough.uchicago.edu/tool to compare graduation rates among all Chicago high schools
<sup>c</sup>See http://cps.edu/Schools/High_schools/Pages/HighschoolsIndex.aspx to compare ACT scores between schools

Figure 2. Changes in Student Outcomes by Tier of School Attended

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison Groups</th>
<th>Student Achievement</th>
<th>Student Experiences</th>
<th>College Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attending a Selective vs. Top-Tier HS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attending a Top-Tier vs. Mid-Tier HS</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attending a Top-Tier vs. Low-Tier HS</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attending a Mid-Tier vs. Low-Tier HS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>d</sup>Negative effect for students living in low-income neighborhoods, but no effect for students living in high-income neighborhoods.
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